Welcome to Webcast Wednesday & Clinical Cases in HIV Medical Care: "Retention in HIV Care: Risk Factors, Interventions, and Identifying Those in Need of Support"

> Please dial:1-866-244-8528 Participant Code:466193

for the audio portion of this conference.

Please turn off your computer speakers and press *6 to mute your phone line.



Southeast AIDS Training and Education Center

Conflicts of Interest

FACULTY

Beverly Woodward, RN has expressed no conflicts of interest.

STAFF

- Jennifer Burdge has expressed no conflicts of interest.
- Susan Richardson, CFNP has expressed no conflicts of interest.
- Brittney Copeland has expressed no conflicts of interest.

Meet Our Presenter: Beverly Woodward, MSN, RN Case Manager



Vanderbilt Comprehensive Care Clinic Division of Infectious Diseases Vanderbilt Medical Center

Objectives

- After attending this presentation, participants will be able to:
- Discuss the importance of retention in care, including health risks of poor retention among PLWHA.
- Describe challenges in assessing and defining retention in care.
- Define the scope and impact of retention in care.
- Access intervention techniques and tools for improving retention in care.

Retention in HIV Care

Risk factors, interventions, & identifying those in need of support.

Beverly Woodward, MSN, RN Nurse Case Manager Vanderbilt Comprehensive Care Clinic

> VANDERBILT VUNIVERSITY MEDICAL CENTER

Objectives



Challenges

Measurement Comparison Limitations



Why

Health outcomes HIV transmission



Who

Scope of problem Who is affected Risk factors



Actions

Interventions Identifying patients

Some things to consider... it's not just about remembering appointments.



Discrimination.

In a study of HIV+ Latino MSM, those who reported being treated differently based on their sexual orientation were less likely to be retained in care.¹



HIV Status Disclosure & support

In the same study, HIV status disclosure predicted retention in care. Individuals with more HIV-specific support were more likely to be retained in care.¹



No shows have clinical significance.

Poor retention in care and clinic "no shows" are associated with increased mortality risk.²

Challenges



Challenges

- Retention is complex, difficult to define, hard to measure.³⁻⁵
 - Fluid vs Static
 - "Churn"
- Common definitions:
 - Missed visits
 - Visit Constancy: Time intervals with at least 1 visit.
 - Gaps in care: 6-month intervals that contain no appointments.
 - Visit adherence: Proportion of kept visits/scheduled visits
 - HRSA/HAB measure: "At least 1 medical visit in each 6 month period within a 24 month period (2 months apart)."

Challenges

Limitations and considerations

- Churn, geographic mobility, transfer.
- Measures and endpoints.
- Data origin.
- Population captured.
- Comparison.
- Evolving treatment recommendations.

Why does retention matter?



Why is retention so important?



Retention in care is strongly correlated with health outcomes. ^{2, 6-12}

Patients who are poorly-retained in care are:

- More likely to have detectable viremia.
- More likely to have prolonged viral burden.
- Less likely to maintain access to ART.
- More likely to have AIDS-defining CD4 count.
- At higher risk of death.

Why is retention so important?



Improving retention in care among those most affected could help lessen health disparities.¹⁵

Scope and impact Who is affected?



Scope and Impact



- Meta-analysis of multiple different studies on retention found that only 69% of individuals included had 2 or more visits during 6-month intervals.¹⁵
- Study using data from NA-ACCORD cohort: 25% of individuals who accessed care from 2000-2008 had one or more "out of care" episodes. ¹⁶

Scope and Impact



Poor engagement among our new patients:

- One study followed 581 newly diagnosed patients from 2004-2011 and found that 63% had at least 1 gap in care.¹⁷ Prior gaps were associated with subsequent gaps.
- A study using the 1917 Clinic Cohort found that 60% of new patients missed a visit during the first year of care.¹¹ These patients also had higher mortality risk.

Scope Who is affected.



Poor retention has been associated with several factors: 1,10,16,18-24

- Geography and neighborhoods
- Geographic mobility
- Younger age
- Racial minority status
- Lack of provider constancy
- Insurance status
- Substance abuse
- Stigma
- Lack of social support and status disclosure
- HIV risk factor: IDU, heterosexual risk behavior
- Female sex

Who is affected: Stigma and retention in care

- In a study on internalized stigma, increased stigma was associated with gaps in care.²⁴
- Another study found that individuals were more likely to attend appointments when more people knew they were HIV+.¹
- A study in Atlanta found that patients who always attended appointments reported knowing someone else who was HIV+.⁹





Interventions

CDC Home Centers fo CDC 24/7: Savi	r Disease Control and Prevention ng Lives. Protecting People.™	SEARCH
A-Z Index for All CDC Topics		
HIV/AIDS		HIV A-Z Topics
HIV/AIDS HIV Basics Who's at Risk for HIV? HIV Testing Prevention Research Programs Research	HIV/AIDS > Prevention Research > Research Image: Recommend Image: Tweet Image: Share Compendium of Evidence-Based Interventions and Best Practices for HIV Prevention • NEW Linkage to, Retention in, and Re-engagement in HIV Care (LRC) Chapter • Risk Reduction (RR) Chapter	 Print page CDC HIV CDC HIV/AIDS Get email updates Subscribe to RSS See RSS Listen to audio/Podcast
Pre-Exposure Prophylaxis (PrEP) Prevention Benefits of HIV Treatment	Medication Adherence (MA) Chapter NEW Linkage to. Retention in. and Re-engagement in HIV Care (LRC) Chapter	View page in Spanish VIH En Español (Spanish)
Replicating Effective Programs Plus (REP) Compendium of Evidence-Based Interventions and Best Practices for HIV Prevention	Background 1 LRC Best Practices Review Methods 1 LRC Best Practices Criteria Complete List of LRC Best Practices Stratified List of All LRC Best Practices, by Characteristic	Get Tested Enter ZIP code or C GO

Interventions: *Stay Connected*

- Clinic-wide messaging campaign.²⁵
- Focused on health effects of keeping appointments and staying in care.
 - Printed: posters, brochures
 - Verbal: consistent messages



Interventions

Stay Connected

- Improved attendance future appointments.
- Especially effective among patients with detectable VL.
- Found to lower financial risk and improve revenue for the clinic.²⁶
- All materials available online at AETC.





- Compared "enhanced contact" with the routine appointment reminders (standard of care).²⁷
- Population: Patients with a history of missed visits and new patients.
- Intervention lasted 12 months.

Interventions: Enhanced Personal Contact

Enhanced Contact Intervention:²⁷

- Face-to-face meeting to establish relationship
- Brief meetings at each HIV appointment
- Phone call halfway between scheduled appointments
- Reminder call 7 days before scheduled appointment
- Reminder call 2 days before scheduled appointment
- No show call within 24 hours of missed appointment

Interventions: Enhanced Personal Contact

- Results:
 - Increased visit constancy.
 - Increased visit adherence.
- Exception: Patients with unmet needs, patients with active substance abuse.
- A separate study (cost analysis) concluded that it can be implemented at relatively low cost and could result in financial benefits based on improved attendance.²⁸



 Treatment guidelines and expert panels recommend monitoring retention in care and identifying patients at-risk.

• How do we choose who to target in our world of limited clinical resources?



Our Process...ICM Project

Intensive Case Management Project (ICM)

Goal: To identify patients at risk for no-shows and to enroll them in ICM.

ICM Program Components:

- 1. Screening
- 2. Linking high risk patients to case management and adherence counseling.
- 3. Follow-up and re-assessment



- Identified a tool: Robbins et al. risk prediction tool for virologic failure.²⁹
- 7 risk factors associated with virologic failure:
 - Missed clinic visits
 - Poor adherence to medications
 - Heavy ART exposure
 - Prior history of virologic failure
 - Substance abuse
 - CD4 <100
 - Unsuppressed VL during previous 12 months

Interventions:

ICM Project: Screening

Used the tool to screen patients with VL>200.

Risk Factor	Points
Poor medication adherence: Documented during prior 12 month.	1 point for yes, 0 for no
Poor clinic attendance: Two or more "no-shows" during prior 12 months.	1 point for yes, 0 for no
Substance abuse: Documented within prior 12 months.	1 point for yes, 0 for no
Low CD4 count: CD4 count <100 copies/mm ³ .	1 point for yes, 0 for no
Heavy ART exposure: Prior exposure to NNRTI, NRTI, and PI classes.	1 point for yes, 0 for no
Prior treatment failure: With genotypic confirmation showing resistance to	1 point for yes, 0 for no
previous regimen.	
Unsuppressed viremia: VL >200 copies/mL.	1 point for yes, 0 for no
	Total score:
	0-1 = Low Risk
	2-3 = Medium Risk
	≥4 = High Risk



- If score was $\geq 4 \rightarrow$ enrolled in ICM.
 - Kept a "panel" to facilitate tracking.
 - Referred them for multiple adherence counseling sessions with the same person every time.
 - Routinely followed up on their retention.



- "Population triage": Reduced a large panel to a more manageable group.
- Focused resources.
- Correlated with appointment patterns: High Risk patients were almost 10 times more likely to no show or cancel.³⁰

Interventions: ICM Project: To date

- Assessed 1,016 patients with VL>200.
- Around 200 are currently high risk patients.
- Demographically similar to previous studies of patients at risk for missing visits.
- More likely to no show or cancel.³⁰
- Program Evaluation currently underway.

Resources on the web

CDC Compendium of effective interventions: <u>http://www.cdc.gov/hiv/prevention/research/compendium/</u>

Stay Connected:

<u>http://www.aidsetc.org/resource/low-effort-</u> <u>clinic-wide-intervention-improves-attendance-hiv-</u> <u>primary-care-publication-and</u>

Upcoming Clinical Cases Webinars

Opportunistic Infections

Monday, April 13 Thein Myin 12:00pm to 1:00 pm EST

Register at

https://aetcnec.virtualforum.com/pifidform.cfm?erid=45177&sc=94 6974&aetccode=15

HIV and Hep C Co-Infection Management

Tuesday, May 12 Divya Ahuja, MD, MRC 12:15 pm to 1:15 pm EST

Register at <u>https://aetcnec.virtualforum.com/pifidform.cfm?erid=44311&sc=581</u> <u>104&aetccode=15</u>

- In order to receive your CME certificate, you must complete the evaluation for this course. Please go to:https://aetcnec.virtualforum.com/pefidform.cfm?eri d=43670&sc=238279&aetccode=15
 We will also e-mail you a link to this evaluation form and your certificate later today.
- If you do not receive this link, or if you have any questions, please contact 615-875-7873, Jennifer.Burdge@Vanderbilt.edu Or, if you are viewing this in a group or you are signed in as "Guest," please let Jennifer know so that all may receive credit for attending.

Thank you for attending!

References

- 1. Wohl AR, Galvan FH, Myers HF, et al. Do Social Support, Stress, Disclosure, and Stigma Influence Retention in HIV Care for Latino and African American Men Who Have Sex with Men and Women? AIDS and Behavior **2011**; 15: 1098-110.
- 2. Mugavero MJ, Westfall AO, Cole SR, et al. Beyond Core Indicators of Retention in HIV Care: Missed Clinic Visits Are Independently Associated With All-Cause Mortality. Clin Infect Dis **2014**; 59(10): 1471-9.
- 3. Gill MJ, Krentz HB. Unappreciated epidemiology: the churn effect in a regional HIV care programme. International Journal of STD & AIDS **2009**; 20: 540-4.
- 4. Mugavero MJ, Westfall AO, Zinski A, et al. Measuring retention in care: the elusive gold standard. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr **2012**; 61(5): 574-80.
- 5. Health Resources and Services Administration HIV/AIDS Bureau. HIV/AIDS Bureau Performance Measures. Department of Health and Human Services **2013**; Available at: <u>http://www.hab.hrsa.gov/deliverhivaidscare/habperformmeasures.html</u>. Accessed 31 October 2014.
- 6. Crawford TN, Sanderson WT, Thornton A. A comparison study of methods for measuring retention in HIV medical care. AIDS Behav **2013**; 17(9): 3145-51.
- 7. Berg MB, Safren SA, Mimiaga MJ, Grasso C, Boswell S, Mayer KH. Nonadherence to medical appointments is associated with increased plasma HIV RNA and decreased CD4 cell counts in a community-based HIV primary care clinic. AIDS Care **2005**; 17(7): 902-7.
- 8. Mugavero MJ, Amico KR, Westfall AO, et al. Early retention in HIV care and viral load suppression: implications for a test and treat approach to HIV prevention. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr **2012**; 59(1): 86-93.
- 9. Rebolledo P, Kourbatova E, Rothenberg R, del Rio C. Factors associated with utilzation of HAART amongst hard-to-reach HIV-infected individuals in Atlanta, Georgia. Journal AIDS HIV Res **2011**; 3(3): 63-70. 10.
- 10. Mugavero MJ, Lin HY, Allison JJ, et al. Racial disparities in HIV virologic failure: do missed visits matter? J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr **2009**; 50(1): 100-8.
- 11. Mugavero MJ, Lin HY, Willig JH, et al. Missed visits and mortality among patients establishing initial outpatient HIV treatment. Clin Infect Dis **2009**; 48(2): 248-56.
- 12. Horberg MA, Hurley LB, Silverberg MJ, Klein DB, Quesenberry CP, Mugavero MJ. Missed office visits and mortality risk among HIV-infected subjects in a large healthcare system in the United States. AIDS Patient Care and STDS **2013**; 27(8): 442-9.

References

- 13. Skarbinski J, Rosenberg E, Paz-Bailey G, et al. Human immunodeficiency virus transmission at each step of the care continuum in the United States. JAMA Intern Med **2015**; online.
- 14. Rosenberg ES, Millett GA, Sullivan PS, Del Rio C, Curran JW. Understanding the HIV disparities between black and white men who have sex with men in the USA using the HIV care continuum: a modeling study. Lancet HIV **2014**; 1(3): e112-e8.
- 15. Marks G, Gardner LI, Craw J, Crepaz N. Entry and retention in medical care among HIV-diagnosed persons: a meta-analysis. AIDS **2010**; 24: 2665-78.
- 16. Rebeiro P, Althoff KN, Buchacz K, et al. Retention Among North American HIV-infected Persons in Clinical Care, 2000-2008. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr **2013**; 62(3): 356-62.
- 17. Rana AI, Liu T, Gillani FS, et al. Multiple gaps in care common among newly diagnosed HIV patients. AIDS Care **2015**.
- 18. Eberhart MG, Yehia BR, Hillier A, et al. Behind the cascade: analyzing spatial patterns along the HIV care continuum. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr **2013**; 64 Suppl 1: S42-51.
- 19. Taylor BS, Reyes E, Levine EA, et al. Patterns of geographic mobility predict barriers to engagment in HIV care and antiretroviral treatment adherence. AIDS Patient Care and STDS 2014; 28(6): 284-95.
- 20. Althoff KN, Rebeiro P, Brooks JT, Buchacz K, Gebo K, Martin J. Disparities in the Quality of HIV Care When Using US Department of Health and Human Services Indicators. Clinical Infectious Diseases 2014; 58(8): 1185-9.
- 21. Whiteside YO, Cohen SM, Bradley H, Skarbinsk iJ, Hall HI, Lansky A. Progress along the continuum of HIV care among blacks with diagnosed HIV-United States, 2010. Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report 2014; 63(5): 85-9.
- 22. Westergaard RP, Hess T, Astemborski J, Mehta SH, Kirk GD. Longitudinal changes in engagement in care and viral suppression for HIV-infected injection drug users. AIDS 2013; 27(16): 2559-66.
- 23. Blashill AJ, Perry N, Safren SA. Mental health: a focus on stress, coping, and mental illness as it relates to treatment retention, adherence, and other health outcomes. Curr HIV/AIDS Rep 2011; 8: 215-22.
- 24. Earnshaw S, Smith L, Chaudoir S, Amico KR, Copenhavr M. HIV stigma mechanisms and well-being among PLWH: A test of the HIV stigma framework. AIDS Behavior 2013; 17(1785-1795).
- 25. Gardner LI, Marks G, Craw JA, et al. A low-effort, clinic-wide intervention improves attendance for HIV primary care. Clin Infect Dis 2012; 55(8): 1124-34.
- 26. Gardner LI, Marks G, Wilson TE, et al. Clinic-wide Intervention Lowers Financial Risk and Improves Revenue to HIV Clinics Through Fewer Missed Primary Care Visits. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr 2015; 68(4): 472-6.
- 27. Gardner LI, Giordano TP, Marks G, et al. Enhanced personal contact with HIV patients improves retention in primary care: a randomized trial in 6 US HIV clinics. Clin Infect Dis 2014; 59(5): 725-34.

References

- 28. Shrestha RK, Gardner L, Marks G, Craw J. Estimated the cost of increasing retention in care for HIV-infected patients: Results of the CDC/HRSA Retention in care trial. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr **2015**; 68(3): 345-50.
- 29. Robbins GK, Johnson KL, Chang Y, et al. Predicting virologic failure in an HIV clinic. Clin Infect Dis 2010; 50(5): 779-86.
- 30. Woodward B, Person A, Rebeiro P, Kheshti A, Raffanti S, Pettit A. Risk prediction tool for medical appointment attendance among HIVinfected persons with unsuppressed viremia. AIDS Patient Care and STDs **2015**; 29(5).
- 31. Rebeiro P, Horberg MA. Strong Agreement of Nationally Recommended Retention Measures from the Institute of Medicine and Department of Health and Human Services. PLos One **2014**.
- 32. Mugavero MJ, Westfall AO, Zinski A, Davila J. Measuring retention in HIV care: The elusive gold standard. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr **2012**; 61(5): 574-80.
- 33. Siddiqui R, Bell T, Sangi-Haghpeykar H, Minard C, Levison J. Predictive factors for loss to postpartum follow-up among low income HIVinfected women in Texas. AIDS Patient Care and STDs **2014**; 28(5): 248-53.
- 34. Higa DH, Marks G, Crepaz N, Liau A, Lyles CM. Interventions to improve retention in HIV primary care: a systematic review of US studies. Current HIV/AIDS **2012**; 9(4): 313-25.
- 35. Mugavero MJ, Amico KR, Horn T, Thompson MA. The state of engagement in HIV care in the United States: from cascade to continuum to control. Clin Infect Dis **2013**; 57(8): 1164-71.